

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STOCKH 02464 120953Z

12

ACTION L-03

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 IO-14 ISO-00 DPW-01 ACDA-19 CIAE-00

DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01

PRS-01 SP-03 SS-20 USIA-15 OIC-04 DRC-01 AF-10 ARA-16

EA-11 NEA-14 (ISO) W

----- 126415

R 120800Z JUN 74

FM AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2160

INFO AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY HELSINKI

USMISSION GENEVA

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK

C O N F I D E N T I A L STOCKHOLM 2464

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, PFOR, ICRC, VN, VS, SW

SUBJECT: ICRC CONFERENCE ON WEAPONS

REF: STATE 119953

SUMMARY: SWEDISH DELEGATION HEAD FOR EXPERTS MEETING OF SUBJECT CONFERENCE (BLIX) TOLD EMBOFF THAT SWEDEN WILL ABSTAIN ON MAIL TALLY RE PRG SEATING. BUT IT MAY SEND DELIBERATELY AMBIGUOUS REPLY TO ICRC WHICH WILL ALLOW, IF ENOUGH OTHER COUNTRIES DO SAME, SOME KIND OF COMPROMISE SOLUTION. NORDICS SO FAR NOT AGREED ON REPLY TO ICRC NOTE. BLIX SUGGESTS NAMES FOR PRESIDIUM. END SUMMARY.

1. EMBOFF DISCUSSED EXPERTS CONFERENCE WITH MFA LEGAL ADVISOR (AND DELEGATION HEAD TO ICRC CONFERENCE) HANS BLIX AS INSTRUCTED REFTEL. HE HAD JUST COMPLETED DRAFTING POSITION PAPER ON PRG ATTENDANCE ISSUE, WHICH NOW MUST BE APPROVED BY FOREIGN MINISTER.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STOCKH 02464 120953Z

2. BLIX GIVES MINISTER THREE ALTERNATIVES: A) SEND NO REPLY TO ICRC NOTE; B) SEND REPLY WITH RATHER CONVULATED LANGUAGE THAT WOULD SAY SWEDEN FELT BOUND BY DECISION OF RECENT GENEVA CONFERENCE RE PARTICIPATION IN EXPERTS CONFERENCE, BUT FOR ITS PART SWEDEN HAS NO OBJECTION TO PARTICIPATION OF PRG; C) SEND REPLY WHICH ICRC MUST COUNT AS AN ABSTENTION. BLIX IS RECOMMENDING OPTION C BUT WILL TELL US WHAT FORNMIN DECIDES.

3. EMBOFF ASKED BLIX WHAT OPTION B MEANT, AS HE SEEMED TO FEEL THAT MINISTER MIGHT DECIDE ON IT. BLIX SAID THAT IT WAS A DELIBERATELY AMBIGUOUS ANSWER, WHICH THE ICRC COULD INTERPRET ANY WAY IT CHOSE. IF THE ICRC TOOK IT FOR A "NO" VOTE IN THE TALLY, SWEDEN COULD LATER CLAIM IT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE AN ABSTENTION. IN ANY EVENT, HE SAID, SWEDEN HAS NO CHOICE BUT TO ABSTAIN AS IT ALWAYS DOES ON THESE REPRESENTATION ISSUES.

4. BLIX SAID NORDIC CONSULTATION ON ISSUE LAST WEEK FAILED TO REACH AGREEMENT. AT LAST RECKONING FINLAND HAS DECIDED NOT TO ANSWER ICRC NOTE, DENMARK IS INCLINED TO VOTE "NO" (I.E. AGAINST PRG PARTICIPATION), AND NORWAY WILL FOLLOW SWEDEN'S LEAD, I.E. SEND ABSTENTION REPLY. HOWEVER, NORDIC SOLIDARITY MIGHT PREVAIL IN END.

5. EMBOFF THEN REHEARSED ARGUMENTS IN STATE 112467. BLIX HAD COPY OF OUR REPLY TO ICRC NOTE, SENT FROM GENEVA, AND WAS FAMILIAR WITH ARGUMENTS (WE HAVE BEEN OVER GROUND WITH BLIX MANY TIMES). THE ONLY ARGUMENT, AS NOTED REFTEL, WHICH IMPRESSED HIM WAS LIKELIHOOD OF USG WITHDRAWING FROM EXPERTS CONFERENCE, AND HE ADMITTED USG PARTICIAPTION OF FAR GREATER IMPORTANCE THAN PRG PARTICIPATION, BUT HE HOPED THAT SUCH A CHOICE WILL NOT HAVE TO BE MADE. HE THEN SUGGESTED THAT PRG COULD BE ALLOWED TO PARTICIPATE, BUT UNDER SOME KIND OF NEBULOUS PHRASING THAT WOULD NOT MAKE IT AS EXPERTS REPRESENTING A SOVEREIGN GOVERNMENT. EMBOFF POINTED OUT THAT ICRC IS ASKING FOR A YES/NO VOTE AND THAT PRG AND ITS FRIENDS ARE NOT SEEKING ADMISSION AS LIBERATION MOVEMENT BUT RATHER

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STOCKH 02464 120953Z

AS SOVEREIGN GOVERNMENT. BLIX SAID THIS COULD BE WORKED OUT IF ENOUGH COUNTRIES, LIKE SWEDEN, SENT IN DELIBERATELY AMBIGUOUS ANSWERS, THUS ALLOWING ICRC TO INVENT PHRASE-OLOGY FOR PARTICIPATION THAT MIGHT SATISFY USG. BLIX SUGGESTED THAT USG MIGHT CONSIDER THIS ALTERNATIVE AS A FALBACK POSITION, RATHER THAN PULLING OUT OF CONFERENCE. EMBOFF REMINDED HIM WE ARE ON PUBLIC RECORD TO "RECONSIDER ITS DECISION TO PARTICIPATE" IF PRG PARTICIPATES.

6. BLIX SAID HE HAD NO INSIDE WORD ON WHY ICRC HAD RE-
OPENED PARTICIPATION ISSUE, BUT HE GUessed IT IS BECAUSE
EASTER BLOC THREATENED TO BRING ISSUE OUT AT EXPERTS
MEETING. BLIX ADMITTED THAT ICRC ACTION WAS PECULIAR
FROM A LEGAL STANDPOINT, BUT SAID "WE ARE ALWAYS HAVING
TO INVENT RULES."

7. BLIX SUGGESTED FOLLOWING NAMES FOR PRESIDIUM OF
SEPTEMBER EXPERTS CONFERENCE: KUSBACH (AUSTRIA); BEEBE
(NEW ZEALAND); DANIEL MILLER (CANADA). FOR TOP SPOT
HIS PREFERRED CHOICES ARE QUENTIN BAXTER (NEW ZEALAND)
OR GONZALES GAIVES (MEXICO). STRAUSZ-HUPE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptoning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: ARMS, MEETINGS, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 12 JUN 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: boyleja
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974STOCKH02464
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740151-0644
From: STOCKHOLM
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740650/aaaabrar.tel
Line Count: 128
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION L
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: STATE 119953
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: boyleja
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 28 MAY 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <28 MAY 2002 by shawdg>; APPROVED <24-Sep-2002 by boyleja>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: ICRC CONFERENCE ON WEAPONS
TAGS: PARM, PFOR, VN, VS, SW, ICRC
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005